

Atmospheric Water Vapour in the Climate System

(WaVaCS)

Microphysics Tom Peter, ETH Zurich

- Observations
- Modelling







Precipitation staircase

Prerequisites for cloud formation:

- water
- low T
- supersaturation
- Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN) or Ice Nuclei (IN)



Particle size distributions: The result of the interplay of thermodynamics and kinetics in response to outer forcings (T, hV, g)

10

10

10

10

10

10

um interva



Size distribution of aerosol particles from photooxidaton of a methylcyclohexane-propane- NO_x mixture (Seinfeld,1994)

Size distribution of cloud particles near the top of young continental cumuli (Hobbs et al., 1980)

20

30

DROP DIAMETER (µm)

-310 cn

N_d=210 cm³

(a)

50

Example of instrument:

- - Prior to each flight calibration with polystyrene latex spheres

All OPC channels measure particles larger than a certain size

haln.

The differential particle size distribution

$$\frac{dn}{d\log D}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t) = \frac{dn}{d\log r}(\vec{x},r,t) = r\frac{dn}{dr}(\vec{x},r,t) \equiv rn_r(\vec{x},r,t)$$

$$\frac{dn}{dr} = rn_r(\vec{x},r,t)$$

$$\frac{dn}{dr} = \frac{n_r}{\sqrt{2\pi} + \ln\sigma} e^{-\frac{h^2(r/r_m)}{2h^2\sigma}} \log(r\frac{du}{dr})$$

$$\frac{dn}{dr} = \frac{n_r}{\sqrt{2\pi} + \ln\sigma} e^{-\frac{h^2(r/r_m)}{2h^2\sigma}} \log(r\frac{du}{dr})$$

Zognomel distribution :

$$\frac{dn}{dr} = \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{2\pi} + \ln \sigma} e^{-\frac{\ln^2(r/r_m)}{2\ln^2 \sigma}}$$

$$X - \text{Th moment}:$$

$$\langle \tau^{\mathcal{X}} \rangle = \frac{1}{m_{t}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \frac{du}{dr} \tau^{\mathcal{X}} = \tau_{u}^{\mathcal{X}} \exp\left(\frac{w^{2}}{2}h_{u}^{2}\sigma^{2}\right)$$

Thus:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} ds \frac{du}{dr} = u_{t} = \text{total number dansity}$$

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \tau_{m} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}\sigma\right) = \text{mean radius}$$



Size distribution of stratospheric aerosols







Bukowiecki et al., 2002



How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation?

Cloud droplet formation

A fierce competition without which precipitation would be massively impeded!



3 big names: Raoult, Kelvin, Köhler

➢ Raoult's law (1870)

small droplets have higher solute concentrations (salts, acids) and this reduces the H_2O vapor pressure \rightarrow advantage for small droplets

Kelvin effect (1879)

small droplets have a higher H_2O vapor pressure (curvature effect)

 \rightarrow disadvantage for small droplets

➤ Köhler equation (1921)

balance between Kelvin and Raoult terms

 \rightarrow quantitative understanding

Clapeyron's equation

(applies to any phase transition of a pure substance)

 $\Delta V_m dp = \Delta S_m dT \qquad \Delta S_m = \text{change of molar entropy during phase transition} \\ \Delta V_m = \text{change of molar volume during phase transition}$

Clausius-Clapeyron equation

(valid for solid-gas and liquid-gas phase transitions)

Gibbs free energy: $\Delta G_{vap} = \mu dn = \Delta H_{vap} - T \Delta S_{vap} = 0$ ΔH_{vap} : molar enthalpy change during vaporization

→ $\Delta S_{vap} = \Delta H_{vap}/T$ at equilibrium of two phases

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dp}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H_{vap}}{\Delta V_{vap}T} = \frac{\Delta H_{vap}p}{RT^2} \Rightarrow \frac{dp}{p} = d \ln p = \frac{\Delta H_{vap}}{RT^2} dT$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\ln \frac{p(T)}{p_0} = -\frac{\Delta H_{vap}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_0}\right)}$$

assumes ΔH_{vap} to be *T*-independent

Vapor pressure of liquid water and ice



Murphy & Koop 2005, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 131, 1539–1565:

p over water in Pa:

$$\ln(p_{water}) \approx 54.842763 - 6763.22/T - 4.210 \ln(T) + 0.000367T + \tanh\{0.0415(T - 218.8)\} \times (53.878 - 1331.22/T - 9.44523 \ln(T) + 0.014025T)$$

p over ice in Pa:

 $\ln(p_{ice}) = 9.550426 - 5723.265/T + 3.53068 \ln(T) - 0.00728332T; T > 110 K$

Vapor pressure of liquid mixtures: Raoult's law

Mixture of two liquids A und B:





Water / ethanol – mixtures at 25.13°C

Measurement of the vaporliquid-equilibrium (VLE) of ethanol (etOH) / water mixtures

- total vapor pressure P
- partial vapor pressure of $etOH = C_2H_5OH$
- partial vapor pressure of H₂O



Activity coefficients $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ of ethanol / water mixtures

- γ (etOH) from UNIFAC model calculation
- $-\gamma$ (H₂O) from UNIFAC model calculation
- γ(etOH) from VLE data
- $\gamma(H_2O)$ from VLE data

Kelvin effect



Work required to increase the surface area *A* of the liquid-vapor interface: $dW = \sigma dA$ σ = surface tension

 $A = 4\pi r^2$ = surface area

$$dW = \sigma dA$$
$$4\pi r^2 (p_i - p_a) = A dp = \sigma d(4\pi r^2) = 8\pi r \sigma dr$$



→ $p_i = p_a + 2 \sigma/r$ Laplace equation (valid for bubble or droplet) important for $\tau < 50 \, \text{mm}$ $L_3 5 - 10\%$ effect

What is the influence of the higher pressure inside a curved surface on the vapor pressure of a droplet?

For curved surfaces: $\Delta p = 2\sigma / r$

 $p(r) = p(\infty) \times e^{2\sigma V_{m,l}/rRT}$ Kelvin Equation



 $p(\infty)$ = vapor pressure over flat surface, r = ptcl radius, R = gas constant, $V_{m,l}$ = liquid molar volume, e.g. of H₂O, σ = surface tension

Köhler theory = Raoult's law + Kelvin Effect

$$p_{w}(r) = p(\infty) \times e^{2\sigma V_{m,w}/rRT}$$

$$= x_{w} p_{w}(\infty) \times e^{2\sigma V_{m,w}/rRT}$$

$$= \frac{n_{w}}{n_{w} + n_{s}} p_{w}(\infty) \times e^{2\sigma V_{m,l}/rRT}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + n_{s} V_{m,w}/(4\pi r^{3}/3 - n_{s} V_{s})} p_{w}(\infty) \times e^{2\sigma V_{m,l}/rRT}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{1 + 3n_{s} V_{m,w}/(4\pi r^{3})} p_{w}(\infty) \times e^{2\sigma V_{m,w}/rRT}$$

$$\approx p_{w}(\infty) \times \exp\left(\frac{2\sigma V_{m,w}}{rRT} - \frac{3n_{s} V_{m,w}}{4\pi r^{3}}\right)$$

 $P_{v}^{e}(\infty) \xrightarrow{0}_{v} 0$



The last two steps assume the solution to be dilute, and make a Taylor expansion; $p_w(\infty) = H_2O$ vapor pressure over pure liquid bulk water, $\sigma =$ surface tension of solution, r = particle radius, $V_{m.w} =$ liquid molar volume of H_2O , $n_s =$ molar density of solute



 $p_w(\infty) = H_2O$ vapor pressure over pure liquid bulk water, $\sigma =$ surface tension of solution, $D_p =$ particle radius, $V_{m.w} =$ liquid molar volume of H_2O , $n_s =$ molar density of solute

$$S_{w} = \frac{p_{w}(D_{\rho})}{p_{w}(\infty)} \approx \exp\left(\frac{4\sigma V_{m,w}}{D_{\rho}RT} - \frac{6n_{s}V_{m,w}}{\pi D_{\rho}^{3}}\right)$$

Köhler curves for particles (with dry diameters of 100 nm) of different compositions





The Köhler curve describes the equilibrium vapor pressure of a droplet with a specified dry diameter as it takes up or loses water.














































































How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation?

3 Types of Polar Stratospheric Clouds (PSCs)

(1) Ice (2) NAT (nitic acid trihydrate $= HNO_3 \cdot 3H_2O$, crystalline) (3) STS (supercooled ternary solutions, $H_2SO_4/HNO_3/H_2O$)

Lidar observations PSCs over the Norwegian Alps Wirth et al. (1994)

sphericity. of scatteres



Hanson and Mauersberger (1986): Mass spectrometric measurements Formation of NAT PSCs

$$T_{ice} \simeq 188 \text{ K}$$

 $T_{NAT} \simeq 195 \text{ K}$





Thermodynamics of Electrolytes.

I. Theoretical Basis and General Equations

Kenneth S. Pitzer, J. Phys. Chem., 77, 268 - 277, 1973:

General Equations

Let us now set up a system of equations for the thermodynamic properties of pure or mixed electrolytes in rather general form. We will later choose the exact form for certain functions by comparison with experimental data. The total excess Gibbs energy for a solution containing n_w kg of solvent and n_i, n_j, \ldots moles of solute species i, j, \ldots is taken to be

$$\frac{G^{\text{ex}}}{RT} = n_{\text{w}}f(I) + \frac{1}{n_{\text{w}}}\sum_{ij}\lambda_{ij}(I)n_{i}n_{j} + \frac{1}{n_{\text{w}}^{2}}\sum_{i,j,k}\mu_{ijk}n_{i}n_{j}n_{k}$$
(21)

Here f(I) is a function of ionic strength (also temperature and solvent properties) expressing the effect of the longrange electrostatic forces; f(I) may have the form of the

Pitzer Ion-Interaction Model:



Activities: $\ln a_i = \frac{1}{RT} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}$

Theoretical decription for f(I): Debye-Hückel theory (1928)

Data used to parameterize the ineraction potentials λ_{ij} , μ_{ijk} :

(1) p^{vap} measurements: for water $p_w = p_w^0 \times a_w$; $\ln a_w = \frac{1}{RT} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_w}$ for solutes, e.g. HCl \leftrightarrow H⁺ + Cl⁻ $p_{HCl} = \frac{a_{H^+}a_{Cl^-}}{K_H}$; $\ln a_{HCl} = \frac{1}{RT} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}$ (2) Electromotive force measurements: $E = E_0 + \frac{F}{RT} \times \ln(a_{H^+}a_{Cl^-})$ (3) Enthalpy measurements: $\Delta H = \frac{\partial(\Delta G/T)}{\partial(1/T)}$ (4) Measurements of heat capacities. (5) Measurements of solubilities. (6) Measurements of dissociation coefficients

Pitzer Ion-Interaction Model:

$$\frac{G^{ex}}{RT} = n_w f(l) + \frac{1}{n_w} \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} n_i n_j + \frac{1}{n_w^2} \sum_{ijk} \mu_{ijk} n_i n_j n_k$$
Activities: $\ln a_i = \frac{1}{RT} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}$

$$p^{vap} \text{ for H}_2 O$$

$$\Rightarrow p_w = p_w^0 \times a_w ; \quad \ln a_w = \frac{1}{RT} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_w}$$

$$p^{vap} \text{ for HNO}_3$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{HN_3} = \frac{a_{H^+} a_{N_3}}{K_H^0}; \quad \ln a_{HN_3} = \frac{1}{RT} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i}$$



Backscatter Ratio

1.00 1.41 1.98 2.8 3.9 5.5 7.8 11, 15, 22 31, 43, 61, 85, 120



Box modeling of PSCs

- (a) Lidar backscatter ratio
- (b) Backscatter along trajectory of ice, NAT and STS Red: measured, black: calc.
- (c) Calculated surface area densities
- (d) Calculated chemical effects, mainly due to $HCI + CIONO_2 \rightarrow CI_2 + HNO_3$



How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation?



Deliquescence RH of organic mixtures














How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation? When do we need a kinetic treatment?

Nucleation

Formation of a critical cluster from a sequence of bimolecular additions:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}_2 & \text{e.g. } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}_3 \end{array}$

 $A_{i-1} + A \leftrightarrow A_i$ (critical cluster)

- supersaturation: necessary but not sufficient
- need to form new surface (Kelvin equation)
- need to overcome energy barrier by a critical cluster

What energy is needed to form a critical cluster? What is the size of the critical cluster?

Excess free energy for cluster formation: $\Delta G = \Delta G_{S} + \Delta G_{V}$

 $\Delta G_S > 0 \rightarrow$ excess free energy required to form cluster surface (expense) $\Delta G_V < 0 \rightarrow$ excess free energy released from volume transformation (gain)

Clathrate structure



molec dynamics commetions

Ice –I_h structure (Hale & Plummer, 1974)

Nucleation: Classical Theory – a poor man's approach

$$\Delta G = \Delta G_{s} + \Delta G_{v}$$

$$= A\sigma - n\Delta\mu$$

$$= 4\pi r^{2}\sigma - \frac{4\pi r^{3}}{3v_{m}}\Delta\mu \qquad \mu = \text{chemical}$$
where
$$\Delta\mu = \mu_{g} - \mu_{l} = kT \ln\left(\frac{p}{p(\infty)}\right)$$

$$\ell_{Gok} \quad f_{Gor} \quad mex(\Delta\Delta)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Critical radius:}$$

$$r_{c} = \frac{2\sigma v_{m}}{\Delta\mu}$$

$$\mu = \mu_{g} - \mu_{l} = kT \ln\left(\frac{\mu}{p(\infty)}\right)$$

 \rightarrow Critical energy (barrier):

$$\Delta G_{crit} = \frac{16\pi\sigma^{3}v_{m}^{2}}{(\Delta\mu)^{2}} - \frac{32\pi\sigma^{3}v_{m}^{2}}{3(\Delta\mu)^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{16\pi\sigma^{3}v_{m}^{2}}{3(\Delta\mu)^{2}} = \frac{16\pi\sigma^{3}v_{m}^{2}}{3k^{2}T^{2}(\ln(p/p(\infty))^{2})}$$



Assumptions?

$$\sigma = mole volume
 $V_m = mole volume
p(00) = flet majore
v upor premse$$$

If we assume an **Arrhenius reaction velocity equation** commonly used for the rate of a thermally activated process, the rate of nucleation, J, is given as:

$$J = K \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{crit}}{kT}\right) = K \exp\left(-\frac{16\pi\sigma^3 {v_m}^2}{3k^2 T^2 (\ln(p/p(\infty))^2)}\right)$$

Obtain prefactor *K* from kinetic collision frequency:

$$K = \left(\frac{2\sigma}{\pi m_m}\right)^{1/2} \frac{v_m N_v^2}{S} \qquad m_m: \text{ molecular mass} \\ N_v: \text{ vapor concentration} \\ \swarrow \lambda = 0.1 \text{ mm}$$

Example: critical radius, number, and nucleation rate for water droplets at 298 K

<i>p/p</i> (∞)	$r_{c}(Å)$	ic = #molec	J (cm ⁻³ s ⁻¹)	
1	∞	∞ uusu	- ∞	he we do ntion.
2	15.1	482	1.3 x 10 ⁻⁴⁷	Jaco wir wir hou
3	9.5	121	8.9 x 10 ⁻⁴	I switch ou mud.
4	7.6	60	6.4 x 10 ⁺⁷	
5	6.5	39	3.8 x 10 ⁺¹¹	J

 $\sigma = 0.072 \text{ N/m} \ ; \ \nu_{m} = 2.99 \ x \ 10^{-29} \ m^{3} \ ; \ m_{m} = 2.99 \ x \ 10^{-26} \ \text{kg}$

Experiments on homogeneous nucleation from vapors by a nucleation pulse method



Figure 2. Cross section of the expansion chamber showing the main design features to scale. Expansion and recompression valve are released by a rotating-trigger unit in a well-defined time sequence.

• Premixed vapor and carrier gas (Xe, Kr, Ar, Ne or He)

- Expansion is held for t_{exp} (a few ms)
- Small recompression to quench further nucleation \rightarrow nuclei can grow into droplets of observable size (µm)
- Number density C of the droplets obtained from scattered light $\rightarrow J = C / t_{exp}$ Wagner

Wagner & Strey, JPC 1983 Strey et al., JPC, 1994



Homogeneous nucleation exp.:

 p_{tot} and scattered light flux, $\theta = 15^{\circ}$

Significant light scattering occurs only *after* the nucleation pulse



Nucleation pulse:

Obtain experimental pressure drop Δp_{expt} and duration Δt_{expt} of the nucleation pulse

Homogeneous nucleation rates for water: gas-to-liquid and liquid-to-solid



Nucleation rate measurements and classical (Becker-Döring) theory (Viisanen et al., J. Chem. Phys., 1993).

Solid lines belong to solid points.

Variation of the rate of homogeneous ice nucleation in supercooled water (from Pruppacher and Klett, Kluwer, 1997).



How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation? When do we need a kinetic treatment?

Homogeneous ice nucleation

A quantitative thermodynamic ice freezing theory Koop et al., Nature 2000

Unifies freezing nucleation temperatures and rates for 18 different aqueous solutions:



²w Qw <u>2</u>G T Duw

aw =

aw



How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation? When do we need a kinetic treatment?

Deliquescence/efflorescence of aerosol particles





Electrodynamic particle trap

- store single micron-sized particle
- \succ air flow with controlled RH (5-95 %)
- > air flow with controlled T (160-310 K)
- multiple spectroscopic analysis methods

Efflorescence measurements

- \succ here (NH₄)₂SO₄ (sulfate) particle
- ➤ also NH₄HSO₄ (bisulfate)
- \succ and $(NH_4)_3H(SO_4)_2$ (letovicite)





Trajectory-based global analysis (Colberg et al., 2003): left: full account for hysteresis right: allow efflorescence w/o supersaturation

0.9

0.7

0.5

0.3

0.1

120E 180E



Thermodynamic properties of aerosols

- evidence for prevalence of liquid organic aerosols
- evidence for liquid-liquid phase separations





How far do we get with thermodynamics in explaining cloud formation? When do we need a kinetic treatment?

Heterogeneous nucleation on Arizona test dust (ATD): A first active-site-attribution approach



(a)

(b)

Heat flow (a.u.)

łeat flow (a.u.)

20 wt

0.01 wt%

- (a) DSC experiments on an emulsified ATD suspension
- (b) Assuming all ATD particles to have the same active site
- (c) Attributing stochastically a single active site per ATD ptcl
- (d) Active site distribution on each ATD particle

More realistic dusts than ATD: Pinti et al.

Where does mineral dust come from?



Location of preferential dust sources



Lagrangian tracing of RH_{ice}



Transported specific humidity (Q) at beginning of each trajectory (t = 0) No condensation and mixing \rightarrow trace Q only up to RH_w \leq 100% Checked ECMWF's T(t = 0) and Q(t = 0) are realistic

Cloud formation processes



Results by Cloud Type



97





Diffusion Growth of Flat Surface or Water Droplet

1-D

3-D



100

The droplet growth problem:

- r = radial coordinate
- a = droplet radius
- n = number density of H₂O molecules
- n_{∞} = number density far from droplet
- n_a = number density just above droplet surface



Transform from Cartesian coordinates to spherical coordinates:

$$\nabla^{2}\psi = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}(r\psi) + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(\sin\theta\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\theta}\right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial}{\sin^{2}\theta}\frac{\partial^{2}\psi}{\partial\phi^{2}}$$
 (e.g. formulae in Jackson's book on electrodynamics)
= 0 for spherical symmetry

The droplet growth problem is in good approximation spherically symmetric. Therefore, the diffusion of H_2O molecules towards a small water droplet of radius *a* can be described by

the radial diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = D_g \nabla^2 n = D_g \frac{1}{r} \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r n)$$

where D_q is the diffusion constant of H_2O molecules in air.

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = D_g \nabla^2 n = D_g \frac{1}{r} \times \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r n)$$

Task: For stationary conditions $(\partial/\partial t = 0)$, derive *n* from this equation.

Need two boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \| n(r \to \infty) &= n_{\infty} = \text{const} & \text{far away} \\ n(r \to a) &= n_{a} = \text{const} & \text{above droplet surface.} \end{aligned} \\ \theta &= \frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} (rn) \\ \tau n &= A + Br \end{aligned}$$

Boundary conditions:
$$m = B$$
, $m_a = \frac{A}{a} + B$

$$n(r) = (n_a - n_{\infty})\frac{\partial}{r} + n_{\infty}$$
 and $j(r) = -D_g \frac{\partial n}{\partial r} = D_g (n_a - n_{\infty})\frac{\partial}{r^2}$

Interpretation:

- $p_{\infty} = n_{\infty} kT$ is the H₂O partial pressure.
- $p_a = n_a kT$ is the H₂O vapor pressure.
- $(n_a n_\infty) < 0 \rightarrow$ water uptake
- $(n_a n_\infty) > 0 \rightarrow$ water loss
- $(n_a n_\infty) = 0 \rightarrow \text{equilibrium}$

Droplet growth:

$$\int \frac{4\pi}{3}a^{3} = \oint \vec{j}\cdot\vec{e_{T}} dS = -\frac{dN}{dt} = -\frac{1}{V_{m}}\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{1}{V_{m}}\frac{4\pi}{dt}a^{2}\frac{de}{dt}$$

$$S$$
 = droplet surface area
 N = # H₂O molecules in droplet
 V = droplet volume
 V_m = H₂O molecular volume

$$\oint \vec{j} \cdot d\vec{s} = \int \vec{j} dS = 4\pi a^2 \vec{j}(a) = 4\pi a^2 \left[-D_g \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right]_a = 4\pi a D_g \left(u_a - u_{\infty} \right)$$

Growth/evaporation law for a droplet

$$\Rightarrow \frac{da}{dt} = -V_m \frac{D_g}{a} (n_a - n_\infty)$$

 $p_a = n_a kT$ is the H₂O vapor pressure



But there is a serious problem with our solution:

\Rightarrow Violation of flux limitation

This result diverges for $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow$. But this is physical non-sense!

The flux cannot become arbitrarily large but is limited by molecular bombardment.



In mathematical terms, we need to change our boundary condition:

Need a flux boundary condition, not a concentration boundary condition!

.

Molecular bombardment on surface:

$$j = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{v} n$$

 $(\overline{v} = \text{mean molecular}$ thermal velocity)

$$\Rightarrow \quad j(a) = D_g(n_a - n_\infty) \frac{1}{a} = \frac{\alpha}{4} \frac{\alpha}{v} (n_{vap} - n_a) \quad \text{Hertz-Knudsen} \\ \text{Equation}$$

v = mean molecular thermal velocity

 α = mass accommodation coefficient

 \rightarrow 1 - α is the fraction of colliding molecules that is reflected by surface

From this equation determine n_a :

$$m_a \left(\frac{D_g}{a} + \frac{x\overline{v}}{y}\right) = \frac{x}{y}\overline{v}n_{vap} - \frac{D_g}{a}n_{co} = M_a = \frac{m_{vap} + \frac{4D_g}{x\overline{v}a}}{1 + \frac{4D_g}{x\overline{v}a}}$$

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -V_m \frac{D_g}{a} \times \frac{n_{vap} - n_{\infty}}{1 + (4D_g / \alpha \, a \, \overline{v})}$$
No divergence!
Finite growth and
evaporation rates!
$$D_g = \frac{\overline{v} \lambda}{4} \int \lambda = \text{mean free collisional path}$$

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -V_m \frac{D_g}{a} \times \frac{n_{vap} - n_{\infty}}{1 + \lambda / \alpha \, a} = \begin{cases} -\frac{V_m D_g}{a} (n_{vap} - u_{\infty}) \int \alpha \ll \lambda / x \\ -V_m \frac{\sqrt{v}}{4} (n_{vap} - u_{\infty}) \int \alpha \ll \lambda / x \\ Knndsen \\ regime \\ \sqrt{\lambda} \alpha & \alpha & \alpha \ll 1 \end{cases}$$






Example:

H₂O uptake impedance of glassy aerosols: sucrose particle at 291 K

(5-day experiment, each leg ~ 1 day)







Low clouds, cirrus and stratospheric aerosol above Zurich, 16 September 2009

Highlight: New Instrument development

COBALD Lightweight Backscatter Sonde





RHI [percent]

80

100

120

140

60

0

20

40

Feature	Specification	Remark
wavelengths	455 nm & 870 nm	color index 1-15
Backscatter dynamic range	10 ⁴	from unperturbed stratosph. aerosol to thick anvil outflow
time resolution	1 s	0.05-3 s selectable
dimensions	17 × 14 × 12 cm ³	incl. 3 cm insulation
total weight	540 g	suited for piggyback
power supply	8 × LR61 (1.5V AA) 2 × 6LR61 (9V)	for > 3 h of operation
data interface	19.2 kbit/s, logic level RS232	settings for SRS-C34, adaptable to telemetry
altitude range	ground to > 30 km	cp. weather sondes



Thanks!

Last slide:

The differential particle size distribution

$$\frac{dn}{d\log r}(\vec{x},r,t) \equiv rn_r(\vec{x},r,t)$$

and its corresponding transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial n_{r}}{\partial t}(\vec{x},r,t) + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla n_{r}(\vec{x},r,t) + v_{s} \frac{\partial n_{r}}{\partial z}(\vec{x},r,t) + \frac{\partial v_{r}n_{r}}{\partial r}(\vec{x},r,t) + D_{r}\nabla^{2}n_{r}(\vec{x},r,t) \\
= J_{nuc}(\vec{x},r,t) \\
- \int_{0}^{\infty} dr' \mathcal{K}(r,r')n_{r}(\vec{x},r,t)n_{r}(\vec{x},r',t) \\
+ \int_{0}^{r} dr' \mathcal{K}(r',(r^{3}-r'^{3})^{1/3})n_{r}(\vec{x},r',t)n_{r}(\vec{x},(r^{3}-r'^{3})^{1/3},t)$$
116



Cloud droplet formation

A fierce competition without which precipitation would be massively impeded!



Different pathways for ice nucleation, e.g.:

Homogeneous nucleation of solution droplets





Cloud condensation nuclei insoluble aerosol



Depending on surface, composition, temperature, relative humidity ...

Hier Colberg

Hoyle paper

Do we need a kinetic treatment for H2o transport in strat?

Raoult lived when?

Snowwhite / Cobald